For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	JULIET KNILEY,
11	Plaintiff, No. C 14-05294 WHA
12	V.
13	CITIBANK, N.A., THE MOORE LAW CITIBANK, N.A., THE MOORE LAW HENRIQUES
14	GROUP, A Professional Corporation, and HUNT & HENRIQUES,
15	Defendants.
16	
17	The order granting defendant Citibank, N.A.'s motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 44) ordered
18	the parties to show cause as to why defendant Hunt & Henriques should not also be dismissed,
19	given that the analysis of the claims against it was identical to the analysis of the claims against
20	Citibank. In response, plaintiff Juliet Kniley stated that she does not oppose dismissing Hunt &
21	Henriques from the case. Defendant Hunt & Henriques is hereby DISMISSED from the case.
22	Given that all defendants have now been dismissed, the CLERK SHALL CLOSE THE FILE .
23	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	· m M.
26	Dated: April 21, 2015. WILLIAM ALSUP
27	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	